

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/800,114	03/06/2001	Heinrich Lang	LMX-129	LMX-129 2747	
22827	7590 07/29/2003				
DORITY & MANNING, P.A.			EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE GREENVILLI	E BOX 1449 E, SC 29602-1449		SHAFER,	SHAFER, RICKY D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2872		
			. DATE MAILED: 07/29/2003	DATE MAILED: 07/29/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Δc			
	Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	09/800,114	4	LANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Ricky D. St		2872				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the	cover sneet with the c	orrespondence ad	aress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no ever ply within the statut d will apply and will te, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim lory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	ely filed will be considered time the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u>	May 2003 .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ T	his action is i	non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
4) Claim(s) 10-17 and 19-34 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 13,23-27 and 29-34 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-12,14,15 and 28</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16,17 and 19-22</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)	-						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	14	· ===	r (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				

1. Claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 10, lines 9 and 10, the use of the language "the housing framing" is vague, indefinite and/or confusing. It is unclear to the examiner whether the above mentioned language is referring to the housing, the framing element or to another completely different element which lacks proper antecedent basis. Thus, the metes and bounds of the claim is unclear.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Toshiaki et al. ('214).

 Toshiaki et al discloses a rearview mirror assembly comprising a holding component (33) configured to attach to a vehicle, a first clamping part (17) configured to attach to the holding component, and a second clamping part (15) configured to attach to the holding component via the first clamping part and disposed opposite of the first clamping part, the first and second clamping parts cooperating to clamp about the holding component and releasably attach to each other, the second clamping part further configured to fastenably hold a mirror element (13), note Figures 1-10 and the associated description thereof, wherein the second clamping part has at least

• Application/Control Number: 09/800,114 Page 3

Art Unit: 2872

one hook element (51 and/or 56) and the first clamping part has at least one snap connection (53 and/or 65) to secure the first and second clamping part together.

4. Claims 10, 11, 14, 15 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Boddy ('385).

To the extent the claims are definite, Boddy discloses a rearview mirror assembly comprising a housing (14) including a framing element/first clamping part (32) attached to a holding tube/component (16) configured to attach to a vehicle, and a clamping part/second clamping part (44) configured to attach to the holding tube/component and disposed opposite of the framing element/first clamping part, the framing element/first clamping part and the clamping part/second clamping part cooperating to clamp about the holding tube/component and releasably attach to each other, the clamping part/second clamping part further configured to fastenably hold a mirror element (12) at a predetermined position along the length of the holding tube/component, note Figures 1-14 and the associated description thereof, wherein the clamping part/second clamping part has at least one hook element (46) and the framing element/first clamping part has at least one snap connection (40) to secure the framing element/first clamping part and the clamping part/second clamping part together, wherein the cooperating size, shape and/or force of elements (44 and 40) inherently serve as the means for securing the first and second clamping parts against rotational displacement relative to the holding member.

Application/Control Number: 09/800,114 Page 4

Art Unit: 2872

5. Claim 12 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 6. Claims 16, 17, and 19-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R.D. Shafer at telephone number (703) 308-4813.

RDS // July 28, 2003